

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/527,309	WILSON, STEVEN B.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Susan Y Chen	2171	

All Participants:

(1) Susan Y Chen.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. John B. Conklin.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 April 2004

Time: 10:30 AM and 2PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
Examiner requests to restrict the instant application at the first interview as following:

Group 1: Claims 1-14, 27, and 30, drawn to computer to computer protocol implementing, classified in class 709, subclass 230;

Group 2: Claims 15-26, 29, 31 and 32, drawn to Network printing system communication, classified in class 358, subclass 1.15;

Group 3: Claim 28, drawn to object oriented database structure processing, classified in class 707, subclass 103Y.
A provisional election of Group 3 with traversal was elected by Mr. John B. Conklin at the second interview.